

Refutation of Gage's Game

7/8/2023, 2:07 PM

Elsa,

I don't respond well to dishonest or insincere statements. My time is too valuable. If someone keeps posting the same nonsense for 15 years, it appears their objective is to consume my time and to manipulate others. How does it feel to know you've been manipulated?

Mr. Gage has said that I dismiss thermite on page 126 of my book. Have you actually looked at page 126? What is discussed on page 126 is the lack of significant seismic signal as stated by Shyam Sunder, a section on "The Kitchen Sink," and a section on "Occam's Razor." Thermite is mentioned in "The Kitchen Sink," but only as an example of how some folks assume they'll have the right answer if they claim everything was used, which is why I call that "The Kitchen Sink" approach. Thermite is discussed elsewhere, in depth. Why does Mr. Gage reference a page that does not discuss thermite in depth? Is it to be deceptive? If someone goes to page 126, they won't find much of a discussion about thermite. So by referencing page 126, Mr. Gage is being deceptive and dishonest.

If you want to know what thermite looks like, while just doing a single weld of railroad track, watch this and imagine what lower Manhattan would look like if a significant amount of thermite were used. From space, it might look like a piece of the sun had crashed into lower Manhattan.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K6i--HLgKW8>

Have you reviewed where I addressed this very same nonsense article by Gage fifteen years ago? That article is over 15 years old. I addressed it July 2, 2008, shortly after they posted that article. The fact that Mr. Gage is still promoting that article tells you all you need to know about him.

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/>

If you REALLY want me to refute Mr. Gage's propaganda piece, you would read through the FAQ page.

You've had that link.

If you need particular links, here they are:

Please go to the links for the information. I'm not going to reproduce my entire webpage in email and send it to you, but this should help get you started.

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#36>

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#37>

Note the members are banned from AE911Truth if they talk about the evidence. Why would this be?

The "URL" links below where the videos should be and should take you to the youtube videos if the videos don't show on the page.

As I stated at the bottom of that section,

"An organization that bans discussion of the truth while promoting disinformation brings into question their agenda."

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#38>

That includes the audio to Mr. Gage's claim that I'm practicing witchcraft.

Note, insults usually indicate that the person has no facts to rely on.

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#39>

"If someone makes the statement that I have overlooked this issue when this is not true, it brings their credibility into question."

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#40>

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#41>

(Images are provided at the link.)

Does Mr. Gage believe these first responders are wading through "hot molten metal"?

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#42>

This one is most significant.

There are numerous "debunking" articles that have been posted around the internet on various hit-piece sites, such as <http://ae911truth.org/> and **Journal Of Nine Eleven Studies** (or **JONES**). One might ask why they have chosen to spend their time presenting the *appearance* of "infighting" rather than submitting a Request for Correction (RFC) to NIST with their purported "evidence" as I have done with mine, and why they have spent their time writing deceptive and dishonest hit pieces on the only person who has filed a federal qui tam case to hold anyone accountable for science fraud covering up the destruction of the WTC buildings. Why haven't they spent their time filing a federal qui tam case with their so-called "evidence" instead of writing deceptive hit pieces? This is especially troubling and brings into question their organization's true objective.

I have chosen to spend my time on determining what happened on 9/11, then submitting my findings to NIST in a Request for Correction (RFC), and subsequently filing a federal qui tam case seeking accountability for

those who committed science fraud and covering up the crime of 9/11. Some of those covering up the crime know whose technology was used to carry it out. So, why would the organized "*Truth Movement*" spend their energy and time writing hit pieces on me instead of supporting this federal qui tam case?

As of today (September 30, 2011) my book has been out almost a year. In that time, no one has refuted any of the information in my book. They cannot. It is empirical evidence.

Much organized effort has been made to divert attention away from the evidence I have presented and to misrepresent it and to cover it up. Those spending their time writing hit pieces that create a false impression of my work, instead of addressing the evidence of what happened on 9/11, reveal their primary objectives in doing so.

Note, twelve years after my book has been out, still no one has refuted anything in the book. They only promote disinformation about it.

Here is the book, "**Where Did the Towers Go?**": <http://wheredidthetowersgo.com>

Exploding Scott Packs.

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#scottpaks>

You can ask yourself why Mr. Gage & Co. are denying this evidence.

There's a lot more information on that page.

I shouldn't have to reproduce it for you in email.

So, will Mr. Gage spend the next 15 years posting deceptive articles and demanding I refute the same article over and over and over again? Or will he do something useful? What is his objective? Mr. Gage came on the scene and first posted his AE911Truth web page shortly after I filed my RFC (Request For Corrections) to NIST (March 2007). And, from the start, Mr. Gage's top priority appears to be to undermine the work of Dr. Judy Wood. If Mr. Gage wanted accountability for 9/11, he would have supported my qui tam case. If he felt he knew better, he would have filed his own case.

Please answer this question:

Why does Mr. Gage try to convince people that these first responders are wading through hot molten metal?

<https://www.drjudywood.com/faq/#41>

Mr. Gage has been promoting this as rivers of hot-molten metal and lakes of hot-molten metal for 15 years. Why?

Remember, he's had my book for over 12 years and those images are in my book (page 272). There are more pictures of the firefighters wading in that area on page 274. Actually, it was a broken water main that flooded the area. No steam explosions and no boiled first responders. It should be pretty obvious they aren't wading through hot-molten metal.

Andrew Johnson said it well. After these events, there is always

1. the official story,
2. the official cover-up, and
3. the truth.

Remember, it's easier to control a large group of people than it is to control a single person.

I now reclaim the remainder of my time.

Dr. Judy Wood